

STATE OF MARYLAND
BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS
GOVERNOR'S CONFERENCE ROOM
STATE HOUSE
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND

February 2, 1994
10:35 o'clock, a.m.

*HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)*

P R E S E N T

GOVERNOR WILLIAM DONALD SCHAEFER, Presiding;

HONORABLE LOUIS L. GOLDSTEIN, Comptroller;

HONORABLE LUCILLE MAURER, Treasurer;

SANDRA REYNOLD, Secretary, Board of Public Works;

CHARLES L. BENTON, Secretary, Department of Budget and Fiscal Planning;

MARTIN W. WALSH, JR., Secretary, Department of General Services;

JAMES B. ROWLAND, Assistant to Secretary, Department of Budget and Fiscal Planning;

DOROTHY NIEMEYER, Board of Public Works Administrator, Department of General Services;

MARION J. BOSCHERT, Administrative Assistant, Board of Public Works.

- - -

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

C O N T E N T S

| <u>Subject</u> | <u>Agenda</u> | <u>Witness</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Debarment, Rosin & Co., t/a Briggs | SEC 15, p. 28 | | 4 |
| Dundalk Mar. Term., Crane Boom Repair | SEC App. 2., p. A3 | Bob Green | 4 |
| Seagirt Mar. Term., Spreader Repair | SEC App. 3, p. A5 | Bob Green | 4 |
| Crab Dredge Survey, Vessel | DBFP 8-S, p. 8B | | 6 |
| Vol. Co. Emergency Assistance Fund | DBFP 3-GM, p. 3B | | 6 |
| Employee Health Benefits Consultant | DBFP 6-S, p. 6B | | 7 |
| Frostburg State Univ., Settlement | DBFP 7-GM, p. 7B | Mark Davis | 14 |
| BWI, Demolition of Hangar, Exec. Bldg. | DOT 1-C, p. 1 | Nick Schaus | 19 |
| BWI & MTN Airports, Design Services | DOT 4-AE-MOD, p. 7 | Nick Schaus | 20 |
| Central Light Rail, Property Purchase | DOT 5-RP, p. 10 | Nelson Reichart | 22 |
| Camp Fretterd Armory/State Comm. | DGS 4-EX, p. 9 | | 24 |

- - -

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
 Court Reporting and Litigation Support
 Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
 410-766-HUNT (4868)
 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: The Board will come to
3 order. What do we have first?

4 MS. REYNOLD: Good morning, Governor, members
5 of the Board. The Secretary's Agenda this morning has
6 15 items, and I would like to withdraw Item Number 15,
7 please.

8 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Item Number 15?

9 MS. REYNOLD: Yes, sir.

10 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Number 15.

11 MS. REYNOLD: That's the debarment item, that
12 we've been asked to withdraw this request.

13 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Oh, yeah. Withdrawn.
14 Governor, Items Appendix Number 2 and Appendix Number
15 3, that's Department of Transportation, Maryland Port
16 Authority. That's where they have two items, operator
17 error. And I understand from Mr. Green that the union
18 has insurance to cover the damages that were done.

19 MS. REYNOLD: Mr. Green is here.

20 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. Maybe he ought to put
21 something in the record on those two items. That's on

1 your agenda.

2 MS. REYNOLD: Yes, sir.

3 MR. GREEN: Good morning. I'm Bob Green.

4 Both of these items, we're asking your approval on two
5 reports of emergency procurement, and these didn't
6 particularly happen at the same time. They are two
7 different terminals, but they do have to do with
8 accidents damaging our property: one, a repair of a
9 crane boom; and the other, a repair of a spreader.

10 We concluded both of them were due to
11 operator error, and we opted to get them repaired and
12 back into operation, and our insurance and claim people
13 are going after the stevedoring company in both
14 instances for the cost of the repairs.

15 Both repairs have now been completed, and the
16 equipment is back in operation.

17 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Governor, I move we
18 approve the agenda except Item Number 15 that was
19 withdrawn.

20 MS. MAURER: Second.

21 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: No objection. So

1 ordered. What's next?

2 MS. REYNOLD: Budget and Fiscal Planning.

3 MR. ROWLAND: We have eight items this
4 morning. We want to withdraw Item S (sic), which was
5 to have been a hand-carried item. It's being withdrawn
6 at the request of the agency.

7 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Item 8, withdrawn.

8 MS. MAURER: What are we doing with 3, the
9 fire company?

10 MR. ROWLAND: Pardon me?

11 MS. MAURER: Are we withdrawing the fire
12 company?

13 MS. REYNOLD: Did you all want to make a
14 recommendation that Budget and Fiscal Planning go back
15 and ask the county to provide additional funds? Maybe,
16 Mr. Benton, you'd like to withdraw that Item Number 3
17 and see if the county would supply additional funding
18 for the fire company.

19 MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's Item 3-GM, page 3B?

20 MS. REYNOLD: Yeah.

21 MR. BENTON: Very well.

1 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Withdrawn.

2 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Sounded so enthusiastic.

3 MS. MAURER: And I think we need --

4 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Now, you know, he could
5 hear me. When I was talking very low, he heard me.
6 So, all this "I can't hear you" is a bunch of baloney.
7 He hears when he wants to hear. Don't you, Charles?
8 Now he's making out he can't hear me. Charlie and I
9 have been together a long time. I know when he's
10 faking me out of position, and he's faking me out right
11 now.

12 MR. BENTON: (Laughter.)

13 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Yeah.

14 MS. MAURER: See.

15 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Okay.

16 MS. MAURER: Six, I think we ought to have
17 something for the record for 6.

18 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Pardon?

19 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Six.

20 MS. MAURER: Six, the health -- the study.

21 MR. BENTON: And your question? You just

1 simply want to make a statement?

2 MS. MAURER: Yes.

3 MR. BENTON: As the Board knows, we are
4 confronted with the potential for a very substantial
5 liability in connection with our employee health
6 program. This has been accumulated now for quite a
7 number of years.

8 I think it's to our interest to have someone
9 from the outside look at the program as to how it's
10 funded, the benefit structure and, just as importantly,
11 the methodology that has been used here in the past and
12 was ever recommended.

13 In reference to forecasting, the cost for the
14 ensuing year, these forecasts must be made about 18
15 months in advance. We are convinced that whatever
16 methodology has been used during the past has not been
17 on target by a substantial amount.

18 So, I think, from a standpoint of good
19 budgeting, the practices need to be revised, perhaps to
20 the point of actually bringing in an actuary to make
21 these projections for the state.

1 Also, the consultant will look into the so-
2 called liability that the state has in reference to the
3 Blue Cross/Blue Shield contract, not only the
4 consultant, but we have three groups of auditors. We
5 have the auditors from the Insurance Commissioner's
6 Office. Since they have to make determinations as to
7 the soundness of Blue Cross/Blue Shield, it's to their
8 interest, and they are required to determine whether
9 the assets that Blue Cross and Blue Shield are carrying
10 on their books, including the accounts receivable from
11 the state, is valid or otherwise. If they reject that,
12 it could cause very serious problems with Blue Cross
13 and Blue Shield. So, they're looking at it from that
14 standpoint.

15 We have auditors from the Department of
16 Personnel that are also auditing the claims that there
17 were discrepancies of over \$12,000,000, for example,
18 between that which was submitted and that which was
19 paid, because the Social Security numbers did not match
20 up. In many cases, the Social Security number of the
21 spouse was put on the claim instead of the state

1 employee; and, so far, that audit has not reduced the
2 so-called liability to the state.

3 And the legislative auditor has also audited
4 the contract up until December 31, 1992, and their
5 findings are in substantial agreement with the
6 deficiency that had been projected.

7 We expect to have all of the audits and
8 investigation completed by the end of this month, and
9 then there will be a determination of just how the
10 liability will be handled. It's very probable, I would
11 think, that there would be some negotiations with Blue
12 Cross and Blue Shield, particularly in reference to the
13 interest, who owes who and how much.

14 The scope of the consultant's contract will
15 be more in the lines of the future setting -- revising
16 the system of forecasting, to look at the benefit
17 structures, see if they're in the best interest of both
18 the employees and the state. And this contract will
19 take approximately 90 days to complete.

20 This was done on a competitively bid basis.
21 You will note on the Board item the two companies

*HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)*

1 scored very good. Both scored 380, which was the
2 maximum technical score. One company proposal was
3 \$18,000 less than the other; and, of course, we're
4 recommending Foster Higgins, which is the low price,
5 \$150,000.

6 MS. MAURER: So, basically, if I understand
7 you, the issues relating to 1992 have been resolved
8 except for the interest payments. Do they go back to
9 '92?

10 MR. BENTON: The legislative auditors have
11 confirmed the fact that, yes, the state does owe a
12 little over \$30,000,000 in reference to that particular
13 contract. Whether there will be any negotiations as to
14 whether we -- the Department of Personnel was
15 negotiating with Blue Cross and Blue Shield to -- they
16 were aware that a substantial sum of money was owed,
17 but they were negotiating and trying to reduce the
18 amount; and, in contemplation that the amount would be
19 reduced, they also substantially reduced the payments
20 to Blue Cross and Blue Shield.

21 Now, Blue Cross and Blue Shield, it indicated

1 a willingness to negotiate, and this was quite some
2 time ago, but they could never get together. Secretary
3 Ford, I think, wanted the bill reduced by 11,000,000,
4 and they agreed to 4,000,000. That 4,000,000 has been
5 withdrawn; it is no longer on the table.

6 I suspect that, before the matter is settled,
7 there will be further negotiations with Blue Cross/Blue
8 Shield.

9 MS. MAURER: Over the '92?

10 MR. BENTON: Well, over the entire liability,
11 which '92 is a part.

12 MS. MAURER: So, you can't say that any piece
13 of it has been concluded.

14 MR. BENTON: No. A piece of the audit has
15 been concluded, but certainly we have not entered into
16 any negotiations as yet.

17 MS. MAURER: I would just like for the record
18 that when this company looks at the benefit plan
19 design --

20 MR. BENTON: Yes, yes.

21 MS. MAURER: You have in here, obviously,

1 cost competitiveness with other employers in the region
2 and services offered, given the usage, et cetera. I
3 think it's important to have that kind of information.
4 I think you mean to include both the private sector and
5 the public sector, because we have to be careful to
6 keep a balance. We're looking for a benefit for public
7 employees, and part of working for the state is the
8 benefit package, and that we can't be compared exactly
9 to private companies which can direct you to one HMO or
10 whatever.

11 And, so, I think you do mean that the study
12 will be broad in its nature, and the recommendations
13 will bear in mind that it's for a public sector.

14 MR. BENTON: The RFP calls for that, both
15 public as well as private pension plans -- health
16 plans.

17 MS. MAURER: Thank you.

18 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I think this sheet ought to
19 go into the record, too.

20 MS. REYNOLD: All right, sir.

21 MR. GOLDSTEIN: As well as that status

1 report.

2 Lucy, do you want any more information on
3 that Item Number 7?

4 MS. MAURER: No. I think that's -- do you
5 want to put anything in the record?

6 MR. GOLDSTEIN: It wouldn't hurt. Item
7 Number 7-GM, page 7B, is anybody here? Mr. Davis?

8 MS. REYNOLD: Mr. Anderson and Mr. Davis are
9 here.

10 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. Maybe you can just put
11 in a statement in the record. A very short statement
12 would be fine.

13 MR. DAVIS: Good morning. This is an
14 application for approval of a settlement of attorney's
15 fees. The state unsuccessfully defended litigation
16 brought by Mr. Cleary against Frostburg State
17 officials. Because we were unsuccessful in that
18 litigation, the plaintiff as a prevailing party is
19 entitled to attorney's fees. We were able to reduce
20 the amount of the fees in this federal court litigation
21 to the sum of \$5,000. We ask for approval of this

1 amount.

2 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And this won't be a
3 precedent-setting thing, will it?

4 MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

5 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.

6 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Students can now sue if
7 they feel they're wronged?

8 MR. DAVIS: In this case, the federal court
9 did reject the state's argument, sir.

10 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Reject what?

11 MR. DAVIS: Did reject the state's argument.
12 The state objected to what the student was claiming
13 here. We fought it. We lost.

14 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: What was he fighting
15 about?

16 MR. DAVIS: He was saying that his
17 Constitutional rights were being deprived because he
18 did not have an attorney to advise him at a campus
19 disciplinary hearing in the context of pending criminal
20 proceedings. He wanted the attorney to advise him of
21 his right against self-incrimination.

1 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: The lawyer is going to --
2 and the court said now that if a student files against
3 the state, in a disciplinary action he has to have a
4 lawyer sit there?

5 MR. DAVIS: Sir, yes. The lawyer can sit
6 there. The lawyer cannot be involved in the
7 proceeding. The lawyer can only remain silent and tell
8 the student of his right to speak or not to speak.

9 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: And, for that, we pay?

10 MR. DAVIS: We pay because that particular
11 plaintiff in this litigation was a prevailing party
12 under the Civil Rights Act, yes.

13 MR. BENTON: Excuse me. We do not pay for
14 that, but if it goes to trial, then we pay.

15 MR. DAVIS: No, we don't pay -- just to be
16 clear about this, we don't pay any of the costs
17 associated with a lawyer representing a student in the
18 administrative proceeding. The only thing we are
19 paying for here today and paying for at any time is the
20 cost of this student going into federal court. The
21 meter is not running anymore.

1 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: So, we're not paying for
2 the lawyer sitting in.

3 MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

4 MS. MAURER: And there are other units of the
5 system, university system, which do permit the students
6 to have attorneys in disciplinary campus hearings?

7 MR. DAVIS: That's correct. The University
8 of Maryland at College Park, for example, does afford
9 attorneys far broader rights than Frostburg State does.

10 MS. MAURER: In the initial hearings.

11 MR. DAVIS: That's right, yes.

12 MS. MAURER: So, this isn't precedent
13 setting.

14 MR. DAVIS: It is not precedent setting.

15 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: The university system
16 pays a lawyer?

17 MR. DAVIS: No, sir. The student may hire a
18 lawyer at his own expense to represent him.

19 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: And the university
20 doesn't pay that?

21 MR. DAVIS: No, sir.

1 MS. MAURER: But they're permitted to --

2 MR. DAVIS: Yes.

3 MS. MAURER: -- have an attorney even at the
4 first disciplinary hearing.

5 MR. DAVIS: At College Park, that's correct,
6 yes.

7 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: But you don't pay them.

8 MR. DAVIS: No.

9 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Okay.

10 MS. MAURER: But the question is -- we raised
11 the question earlier of the university having a system-
12 wide policy on this matter, because it would seem
13 strange that, if you went to Towson, it might be
14 different than College Park; and that is a matter of
15 concern.

16 MR. DAVIS: That is certainly something for
17 the system to consider.

18 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Okay.

19 MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

20 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Anything else on that
21 one?

1 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I move we approve that agenda
2 except the items that were withdrawn.

3 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: No objection. So
4 ordered. What is next?

5 MS. REYNOLD: Transportation.

6 MR. MCALLISTER: Good morning. Brian
7 McAllister with Department of Transportation. We have
8 five items this morning. Ready to answer any
9 questions.

10 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Wouldn't hurt maybe to just
11 have a very short statement here for Item 1-C. Is
12 anybody here from --

13 MR. MCALLISTER: Yes. Nick Schaus.

14 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Page 1, that's the demolition
15 of the hangar and executive building.

16 MR. SCHAUS: Good morning, members of the
17 Board. I'm Nick Schaus, deputy aviation administrator.
18 Item 1-C requests the Board's approval for the
19 demolition of a 50-year-old Quonset-type hangar at BWI
20 Airport. The facility is in the way of our expansion
21 plans, but also it's in a condition now that calls for

1 its demolition. The area is also needed to support our
2 airline activity by the gates that are nearby.

3 MR. GOLDSTEIN: While you're standing up, why
4 don't you put a little statement in for Item 4-A on
5 page 7.

6 MR. SCHAUS: Yes, sir, will do. Item 4
7 requests the Board's approval of a change order to the
8 Greiner Company to provide for a real market
9 opportunity, I think, that's clearly in the state's
10 interest; and that will be for the expansion of Pier C
11 and the enhancement of the terminal building.

12 The Pier C expansion will provide six
13 additional gates for expanded airline services by such
14 carriers as Southwest and Continental. It's an
15 opportunity that came to us rather quickly, which is
16 why we've come with this change order; and we really do
17 believe this is in the state's interest to see this
18 increase in competition and air service.

19 MS. MAURER: This is 110 percent increase in
20 the contract, and this is a case, for the record, of
21 whether it appears to be a sole source rather than

1 going out again to bid. Would you for the record zero
2 in on why this company should be chosen.

3 MR. SCHAUS: Well, Greiner is uniquely
4 qualified, and they have our current task order
5 comprehensive design contract, that the Board awarded
6 last spring. The rates and the charges that would be
7 included in this change order are those that were
8 competitively negotiated, and the procurement process
9 would really not let us respond in a timely manner to a
10 market opportunity like this where the airlines are
11 telling us they need these facilities in a short period
12 of time, where we've got to move quickly.

13 In fact, I'll be coming to you in the next
14 month or so with some competitively bid construction
15 contracts to actually get this process underway.

16 Does that address your question?

17 MS. MAURER: Yeah, because --

18 MR. SCHAUS: I'm sorry, I didn't give you the
19 other piece on Greiner. Greiner is probably our most
20 uniquely qualified A&E firm in the area. They've done
21 the most recent expansion of Pier C, several years ago,

1 for Northwest. They were involved in the design for
2 USAir and then Piedmont on Pier D. So, they're
3 probably uniquely qualified to accomplish this in an
4 expedited manner.

5 MS. MAURER: So, it's both time sensitive --

6 MR. SCHAUS: Yes, ma'am.

7 MS. MAURER: -- and you have a contract with
8 them for what?

9 MR. SCHAUS: For task order comprehensive
10 design projects. Then, this project came along and we
11 added this to it; and, as I said before, the rates that
12 are being charged for this are those that were
13 competitively negotiated through the A&E process.

14 MS. MAURER: Thank you.

15 MR. SCHAUS: Thank you.

16 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you. Governor, I think
17 we ought to have a little, short explanation on Item
18 Number 5-RP. That's where they're paying the
19 University of Baltimore for land they took from their
20 parking facility in order to build the light rail.

21 MR. REICHART: Good morning. My name is

1 Nelson Reichart. I'm the manager of real estate for
2 the MTA. Be glad to answer any questions you have
3 about this item, which is really our settling up with
4 the university for the property that we needed to build
5 the light rail system.

6 The system has been in operation now for a
7 little better than a year. So, we're just now settling
8 up with them.

9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And everybody is satisfied
10 with this price?

11 MR. REICHART: Yes. This was based on an
12 appraisal, and President Turner and the administrator
13 of the MTA are satisfied with it. This will close up
14 our arrangements for the land, the 72,000-odd square
15 feet that we took from their parking lot.

16 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And they're using this money
17 to pay for that new parking building, I understand.

18 MR. REICHART: President Turner would have to
19 answer that, sir.

20 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I know. I understand. Okay.
21 I move we approve the agenda.

1 MS. MAURER: Second.

2 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: No objection. So
3 ordered.

4 MR. REICHART: Thank you.

5 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: What's next?

6 MS. REYNOLD: General Services.

7 MR. WALSH: We have three items that were
8 printed in the normal time frame, and we have one item,
9 4, which is a hand-carried request for an expedited
10 procurement approval.

11 MR. GOLDSTEIN: I think you ought to put
12 something in the record about Item Number 4, that new
13 item, page 9.

14 MR. WALSH: Yes, sir.

15 MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's the Military
16 Department.

17 MR. WALSH: Yes, sir. Item 4 addresses a
18 project that would include the replacement of the
19 Pikesville current state emergency operation center at
20 Pikesville, which was kind of a found-on-post available
21 conference room that got built over probably 20 or 30

1 years and was outmoded probably the day that they
2 started using it. It's too small, it's too old, and
3 all of the kinds of support infrastructure that should
4 be in an operation center really aren't there.

5 So, the need for a state operation center has
6 been clear for some years, and the Governor has been
7 attempting to move that forward for some years.

8 This is a large project. The project that
9 we're describing here today includes the emergency
10 operation center as well as a major armory and two
11 major other functions that are associated with the
12 maintenance units that will also be using this
13 facility; and all of this will be at Camp Fretterd.

14 This is a \$13,000,000, round number,
15 construction project that comes from two different fund
16 sources. One is the state, which is including \$4.2
17 million in the '95 budget for construction, as well as
18 about 30 percent of this \$731,000 design cost. It also
19 is based on the availability of almost \$9,000,000 of
20 federal funds.

21 In these times of Army restructuring that

1 includes Army active, Army and National Guard Reserves,
2 the messages that are all being received by General
3 Fretterd and his National Guard coordinators with the
4 National Guard Bureau in Washington, that we must have
5 this design completed by the time this fiscal year is
6 still on, '95, and the absolutely sooner we can get
7 this under design, the better; and that this project
8 funding at the federal level could disappear if the
9 feds have any question as to whether we're going to
10 move it forward or not.

11 Even though that's the driving force, we
12 obviously also have the same kind of action by the
13 Legislature, who has not been supportive of this
14 project over the last two or three years. So that all
15 of these are reasons for us to get this under design,
16 to complete the design during this fiscal year.

17 The reason we're going expedited is that we
18 can pick up about two weeks; and, right now, if we move
19 this forward regularly, we could very well finish on,
20 for example, June 31 -- or June 30, but what we're
21 looking to do is get this under construction before the

1 end of the next fiscal year or -- yes, before the end
2 of the next fiscal year. And this will pick up two
3 weeks, which we may not be able to recover in any other
4 way. It's two weeks that's there that we can pick up
5 by going expedited this way.

6 It will be competitive. We will advertise
7 this in every trade journal and the Maryland Register
8 and elsewhere; but, by doing this, we can pick up about
9 two weeks on this process.

10 MS. MAURER: Governor, as I mentioned to you,
11 I'm very sensitive to the fact that the report of the
12 procurement task force has been concerned about
13 expedited. I did say that many times expedited is very
14 useful, and I have been supportive of those that came
15 in before, but I would respectfully have to vote
16 against this. I'm not against the project, and I
17 believe General Fretterd, but this is a hand-carried
18 item and it's in the budget which they are considering
19 now, and I would respectfully disagree with this one.

20 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: I don't want to debate
21 this, but Madam Treasurer is entitled to her vote, and

1 I understand it's technical, not of opposing the
2 project. We would have had this finished years ago if
3 the Legislature had been wise enough at the time to
4 understand the importance of an emergency center. It's
5 now been proven time after time how important it is.
6 They have to operate practically sitting on top of each
7 other with every agency, both private and public, in
8 order to help the various subdivisions in times of
9 emergency.

10 The federal government, unfortunately, is
11 going through this downsizing of the military, and I
12 don't think it's being done in exactly the proper way.
13 For instance, they ask young people to come into the
14 military to make a career. They come in and then, when
15 they get in, all of a sudden without any deep thought
16 or any thought at all, they just cut them out and cut
17 them adrift, so that any attempts to reinforce these
18 bright young people that went in the service are lost.

19 The same way with construction: The National
20 Guard is being downsized, the Reserves is being
21 downsized, and we have a chance to build this armory.

1 This is only part of the total armory, the emergency
2 center; and it sounds like two weeks doesn't make a lot
3 of difference, but it does in this case. If we miss
4 the target date, we miss this money and it will be lost
5 to the State of Maryland. I think, most likely, it
6 will be cut out from the armory forever.

7 So, that's why I think the expedited item is
8 needed.

9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Governor, I agree with your
10 explanation. I sat next to Mrs. Lee, Mrs. Brooke Lee,
11 Jr., from Montgomery County, who's now one of the
12 deputy secretaries in the Department of Defense -- they
13 live over in Montgomery County, and we're very
14 fortunate to have somebody in that position, because
15 there's only so much money in the pot.

16 And I sat next to the top man of the National
17 Guard and asked him a lot of questions last time we
18 were up at Aberdeen. He says, "Well, you're very
19 fortunate to have such an outstanding adjutant general
20 in Maryland, who's come up through the ranks. We have
21 great confidence in him."

1 So, I know what happened back in the early
2 days when World War II started. We didn't have an
3 armory in Calvert County, St. Mary's County, Charles
4 County, Howard County and Garrett County. They were
5 the missing links.

6 So, I got back from the Marine Corps; I put
7 in a bill to build an armory in Calvert County. I want
8 to put this in the record. The adjutant general was
9 General Reckord. I mean to tell you, he was just like
10 a Prussian general. I mean to tell you, that man was
11 just as straight rod. And he called me on the
12 telephone; he says, "Are you Senator Goldstein?" I
13 said, "Yes, sir." He said, "I want to see you." So,
14 he came down to Annapolis. He said, "Do you know who
15 runs the National Guard in this state and this
16 country?" I said, "No, I don't know," acted like I
17 didn't know, but I knew. He says, "I'm the man that
18 runs it." He said, "What's the idea of putting in that
19 legislation without consulting me?" He said, "That
20 bill is as dead as a dodo bird." I said, "Thank you,
21 sir."

1 Then, I started checking all of the bills
2 coming in. He had an interest in the racetrack at
3 Havre de Grace, and he was on the verge of selling it.
4 So, I called him up. I said, "General Reckord, this is
5 Senator Goldstein." I said, "I see you've got a
6 racetrack bill that you're interested in with reference
7 to Havre de Grace Racetrack." I said, "I want you to
8 know that I've got enough votes to kill that bill in
9 the Senate." He said, "When can I come down to
10 Annapolis and talk to you again?" I said, "My time is
11 your time, sir."

12 So, he came down to Annapolis. As a result,
13 we got an armory in Charles County, St. Mary's County,
14 Calvert County, Howard County and Garrett County. We
15 got five armories.

16 MS. MAURER: And the racetrack stayed.

17 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And the racetrack sold, see.
18 So, I mean, I know how this damn thing works. See, you
19 learn when you're young, and I've got a mind that -- I
20 put it back here in that Section 10, somewhere like
21 that.

1 So, here's a situation again, time is of the
2 essence. It's going to be a competitive bid. So, it's
3 not like we're trying to do something that's not right,
4 but time is of the essence here. If we don't get this
5 money now, going to get \$9,000,000, look what it's
6 going to do construction-wise; but look how many young
7 men and ladies will be able to go and have a first-
8 class facility to train, plus -- we hope we never have
9 another war that will make it possible to have bombs
10 and gas, God knows what, but we have to have the
11 facility.

12 I know, I've been up there at Pikesville;
13 that's like being cramped in a black hole or dungeon or
14 something like that. It's in a basement. It has no
15 windows or anything. It's a wonder how they work up
16 there.

17 So, here's an opportunity to get a first-
18 class facility, and Camp Fretterd is the perfect
19 location, right in the center of the state. We
20 couldn't have a better tract of land.

21 I can remember when it was the old Montrose

1 School for Girls. I remember they called me up there
2 one time to come out there and lecture to those girls.
3 Figured I was a pretty bad boy in my youth. I won't
4 relate that story today. It will take too much time.

5 But that's the ideal place to build that
6 project. I'll second the motion, Governor, to build it
7 there.

8 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: All right. Motion made
9 and seconded. All in favor, signify by saying "aye."

10 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

11 MS. MAURER: No.

12 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: And count Mrs. Maurer --

13 MS. MAURER: I'll say "aye" for everything,
14 but "no" for 4.

15 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: No, I understand your
16 position. You just think that the Legislature has gone
17 through the process.

18 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And I move we approve that
19 agenda.

20 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Anything else?

21 MS. REYNOLD: No, sir.

1 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Good.

2 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, sir.

3 GOVERNOR SCHAEFER: Thank you.

4 (Whereupon, at 11:05 a.m., the above-
5 entitled meeting was adjourned.)